

# The $p$ -adic Numbers

Akhil Mathew

ABSTRACT. These are notes for the presentation I am giving today, which itself is intended to conclude the independent study on algebraic number theory I took with Professor Candiotti this spring.

---

## The standard absolute value on $\mathbb{R}$ : A review

Recall the following properties of the regular absolute value  $|\cdot|_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

- $|x|_\infty \geq 0$  with equality iff  $x = 0$
- $|xy|_\infty = |x|_\infty |y|_\infty$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$
- $|x + y|_\infty \leq |x|_\infty + |y|_\infty$  (Triangle inequality)

The standard absolute value induces a notion of *distance* between two elements of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the distance between  $x, y$  being

$$|x - y|_\infty.$$

Absolute values are studied on more general fields in algebra.

---

## The $p$ -adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$

We define the  $p$ -adic valuation: If  $x \neq 0$  is an integer,  $p$  a fixed prime,  $p^r$  the maximum power dividing  $x$ ,

$$|x|_p = \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^r.$$

If  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $r = a/b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and we set

$$|r|_p = \frac{|a|_p}{|b|_p}.$$

This is the  $p$ -adic absolute value, defined only on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . (Also  $|0|_p = 0$ .)

- $|x|_p \geq 0$  with equality iff  $x = 0$
  - $|xy|_p = |x|_p |y|_p$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$
  - $|x + y|_p \leq \max(|x|_p, |y|_p)$  (Non-archimedean inequality: this is *stronger* than the Triangle Inequality)
- 

## $p$ -adic Distance

We can define a new *distance* and thus a topology on  $\mathbb{Q}$  from the valuation  $|\cdot|_p$ : the distance between  $x, y$  is

$$|x - y|_p.$$

$x, y$  are close iff  $x - y$  is divisible by a high power of  $p$ .

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  converges  $p$ -adically to  $a$  if to all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M$  such that

$$n > M \text{ implies } |a_n - a|_p < \epsilon, \text{ or } \lim |a_n - a|_p = 0.$$

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is  $p$ -adically Cauchy if to  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $S$  s.t.

$$m, n > S \rightarrow |a_n - a_m|_p < \epsilon.$$

Unlike in  $\mathbb{R}$ , a  $p$ -adically Cauchy sequence need not converge  $p$ -adically!

### Completions and $\mathbb{Q}_p$

$\mathbb{R}$  is the completion (= filling in holes appropriately) of  $\mathbb{Q}$  w.r.t. the standard absolute value.

The  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are the completion of  $\mathbb{Q}$  w.r.t. the valuation  $|\cdot|_p$ .

- Addition, subtraction, multiplication, division extend to the completion— $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a field
- $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ , just as  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q}_\infty$
- The absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  extends to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  by continuity ( $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ )
- $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is complete with respect to the extended  $|\cdot|_p$ : Any Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has a limit in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$

### Infinite sums in $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We say that  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j = a$  converges to  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  if the partial sums  $S_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j$  converge to  $a$ .

**THEOREM.** The sum  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j$  converges if and only if  $\lim a_j = 0$ .

**PROOF.** One implication: straightforward. Suppose  $a_j \rightarrow 0$ ; pick  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $N$  large so that  $n > N \rightarrow |a_n|_p < \epsilon$ . Then

$$m, n > N \text{ means } |S_n - S_m|_p = \left| \sum_{j=\min(m,n)+1}^{\max(m,n)} a_j \right|_p \leq \max_{j>N} |a_j|_p < \epsilon,$$

so the partial sums are Cauchy and consequently converge.  $\square$

### An example

By substituting  $x = 2$  in the identity  $\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots$ , Euler erroneously concluded  $1 + 2 + 4 + \dots = -1$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**EXAMPLE.** In  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ ,

$$1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots = -1.$$

Indeed,

$$S_n = \sum_{j=0}^n 2^j = 2^{n+1} - 1,$$

so

$$|S_n - (-1)|_2 = (0.5)^{n+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**COROLLARY.**  $\mathbb{Q}_2$  is not an ordered field.

### The Heine-Borel Theorem

**THEOREM (Heine-Borel).** *A set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is compact if it is closed and bounded.*

This makes sense for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  too, where point-set topology works similarly.

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ .  $A$  is *open* if for  $x \in A$ , there is  $s > 0$  s.t.

$$N_s(x) \equiv \{y : |y - x|_p < s\} \subset A;$$

$A$  is *closed* if  $\mathbb{Q}_p - A$  is open.  $B \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$  is *compact* if every open covering of  $B$  has a finite subcovering.  $C$  is called *bounded* if there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $x \in C$  implies  $|x|_p \leq M$ .

Notice how similar these notions are to  $\mathbb{R}$ !

**THEOREM ( $p$ -adic Heine-Borel).** *A set in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is compact if it is closed and bounded.*

### The ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$

We define

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq 1\};$$

this is the analog of the unit interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**THEOREM.**  *$\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a ring.*

**PROOF.** If  $|x|_p \leq 1, |y|_p \leq 1$ , then  $|xy|_p = |x|_p |y|_p \leq 1$ . Also  $|x + y|_p \leq \max(|x|_p, |y|_p) \leq 1$ .  $\square$

Notice how important the nonarchimedean property is.

Now  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and in fact  $m/n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  if  $p \nmid n$ .

**THEOREM.** *The ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are of the form  $p^r \mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $r \geq 0$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is thus a principal ideal domain.*

### The $p$ -adic expansion

A real number  $x \in [0, 1]$  can be represented by a sum  $\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n 2^{-n}$  where each  $b_n \in \{0, 1\}$ —the binary expansion. For  $p$ -adic numbers, the sum goes in the opposite direction:

**THEOREM.** *Any element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  can be expressed uniquely as an infinite sum*

$$x = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n p^n = a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2 + a_3 p^3 + \dots,$$

where each  $a_n = 0, 1, \dots, \text{ or } p - 1$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , we have a similar expansion, but we may have a finite number of terms  $a_n p^n$  with  $n < 0$ .

### Addition via Power Series

**EXAMPLE.** *Given two  $p$ -adic integers  $x, y$  represented by  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ , we can add the power series term-by-term*

$$x + y = a_0 + b_0 + p(a_1 + b_1) + p^2(a_2 + b_2) + \dots$$

and then “carry” to put this expansion in the canonical form with each coefficient in  $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ . To multiply, we pretend we have two power series, multiply, and then do the reduction.

Consider the 5-adic numbers

$$x = 1 + 3(5) + 4(5^2) + \dots, y = 2 + 4(5) + 3(5^2) + \dots;$$

then

$$x + y = 3 + 2(5) + 3(5^2) + \dots$$

### Square Roots of $p$ -adic Integers near 1

Let  $p \neq 2$ .

Using the binomial series, we can take square roots of numerous  $p$ -adic integers.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $x = 1 + p\alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then there is  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $y^2 = x$ .*

**PROOF.** We take

$$y = 1 + \binom{1/2}{1} p\alpha + \binom{1/2}{2} (p\alpha)^2 + \dots;$$

this is just the binomial series, and can be seen to converge because  $|p|_p < 1$ .  $\square$

**EXAMPLE.**  $\sqrt{7} \in \mathbb{Q}_3$  because  $7 = 1 + 2(3)$ .

### Square Roots, Part II ( $p \neq 2$ )

Given a  $p$ -adic integer  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , we can write  $x = x_0 + p\alpha$ , where  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  by the canonical expansion.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $x_0 \neq 0$ .  $x$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  if and only if  $x_0$  is a square mod  $p$ , i.e. if there exists  $y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$y_0^2 \equiv x_0 \pmod{p}.$$

In other words, one can tell if  $x$  is a square by looking at the residue of its first term mod  $p$ !

### The Mahler Expansion

The *Mahler expansion* is a  $p$ -adic analog of Taylor expansions.

A function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  is *continuous* at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  if, to each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|x - x_0|_p < \delta \rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)|_p < \epsilon.$$

Continuous functions can be expressed in terms of the *binomial coefficients* defined by

$$\binom{x}{n} = \frac{x(x-1)\dots(x-n)}{n!}; \text{ this is a function on } \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

**THEOREM (Mahler).** *Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  be continuous. Then there exists a sequence  $\{\beta_n\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$ , with  $\lim \beta_n = 0$ , such that*

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \binom{x}{n}; \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

### Extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{Q}$

A finite field extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is called a *local field*.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a local field. Then the absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  can be extended uniquely to  $K$ ; with respect to the new absolute value,  $K$  is complete.*

The study of these local fields is an important aspect of algebraic number theory. E.g., compare the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  to the ring of elements of  $K$  whose absolute value is  $\leq 1$ .

An extension  $L/K$  of fields is called *abelian* if it is Galois and the Galois group is abelian. Using local class field theory, one proves:

**THEOREM (Local Kronecker-Weber).** *Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite abelian extension, so  $K$  is a local field. Then there exists a root of unity  $\zeta_n$  such that  $K \subset \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_n)$ .*

### Why does $\mathbb{Q}_p$ Matter?

*The Hasse Principle:* If something is true for  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , all  $p$ , and for  $\mathbb{R}$ , then it is true for  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

We give examples.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $x$  has a square root in each field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and in  $\mathbb{R}$  (i.e. is positive). Then  $x$  has a square root in  $\mathbb{Q}$  itself.*

**THEOREM (Hasse-Minkowski).** *Suppose a quadratic form (a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in several variables)  $Q(X) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} X_i X_j$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  has a nontrivial root in each  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $Q$  has a nontrivial root in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

**THEOREM (Global Kronecker-Weber).** *Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a finite abelian extension. Then  $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  for some  $n$ .*

### References

- [1] George Bachman. *Introduction to  $p$ -Adic Numbers and Valuation Theory*. Academic Press, 1964.
- [2] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Commutative Algebra*. Hermann, 1972.
- [3] J.W.S. Cassels. *Local Fields*. Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [4] Morris Kline. Euler and infinite series. *Mathematics Magazine*, 56(5):307–314, 1983.
- [5] Serge Lang. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer, 1994.
- [6] Kurt Mahler. *Introduction to  $p$ -Adic Numbers and their Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [7] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local Fields*. Springer, 1979.
- [8] Jean-Pierre Serre. *A Course in Arithmetic*. Springer, 1996.